hearing decision. If the Administrator determines that his or her original decision was incorrect, CMS will pay the State a lump sum equal to any funds incorrectly denied.

[66 FR 2674, Jan. 11, 2001]

# § 457.204 Withholding of payment for failure to comply with Federal requirements.

- (a) Basis for withholding. CMS withholds payments to the State, in whole or in part, only if, after giving the State notice, a reasonable opportunity for correction, and an opportunity for a hearing, the Administrator finds—
- (1) That the plan is in substantial noncompliance with the requirements of title XXI of the Act; or
- (2) That the State is conducting its program in substantial noncompliance with either the State plan or the requirements of title XXI of the Act. (Hearings are generally not called until a reasonable effort has been made to resolve the issues through conferences and discussions. These efforts may be continued even if a date and place have been set for the hearing.)
- (b) Noncompliance of the plan. A question of noncompliance of a State plan may arise from an unapprovable change in the approved State plan or the failure of the State to change its approved plan to conform to a new Federal requirement for approval of State plans.
- (c) Noncompliance in practice. A question of noncompliance in practice may arise from the State's failure to actually comply with a Federal requirement, regardless of whether the plan itself complies with that requirement.
- (d) Notice, reasonable opportunity for correction, and implementation of withholding. If the Administrator makes a finding of noncompliance under paragraph (a) of this section, the following steps apply:
- (1) Preliminary notice. The Administrator provides a preliminary notice to the State—
- (i) Of the findings of noncompliance;(ii) The proposed enforcement ac-
- tions to withhold payments; and
- (iii) If enforcement action is proposed, that the State has a reasonable opportunity for correction, described in

paragraph (d)(2) of this section, before the Administrator takes final action.

- (2) Opportunity for corrective action. If enforcement actions are proposed, the State must submit evidence of corrective action related to the findings of noncompliance to the Administrator within 30 days from the date of the preliminary notification. Corrective action is action to ensure that the plan is, and will be, administered consistent with applicable law and regulations, to ameliorate past deficiencies in plan administration, or to ensure that enrollees will be treated equitably.
- (3) Final notice. Taking into account any evidence submitted by the State under paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the Administrator makes a final determination related to the findings of noncompliance, and provides a final notice to the State—
- (i) Of the final determination on the findings of noncompliance;
- (ii) If enforcement action is appropriate—
- (A) No further payments will be made to the State (or that payments will be made only for those portions or aspects of the programs that are not affected by the noncompliance); and
- (B) The total or partial withholding will continue until the Administrator is satisfied that the State's plan and practice are, and will continue to be, in compliance with Federal requirements.
- (4) *Hearing*. An opportunity for a hearing will be provided to the State prior to withholding under paragraph (d)(5) of this section.
- (5) Withholding. CMS withholds payments, in whole or in part, until the Administrator is satisfied regarding the State's compliance.

[65 FR 33622, May 24, 2000, as amended at 66 FR 2674, Jan. 11, 2001]

## § 457.206 Administrative appeals under SCHIP.

Three distinct types of determinations are subject to Departmental reconsideration upon request by a State.

(a) Compliance with Federal requirements. A determination that a State's plan or proposed plan amendments, or its practice under the plan do not meet

#### § 457.208

(or continue to meet) Federal requirements are subject to the hearing provisions of 42 CFR part 430, subpart D of this chapter.

- (b) FFP in State SCHIP expenditures. Disallowances of FFP in State SCHIP expenditures (mandatory grants) are subject to Departmental reconsideration by the Departmental Appeals Board (the Board) in accordance with procedures set forth in 45 CFR part 16.
- (c) Discretionary grants disputes. Determinations listed in 45 CFR part 16, appendix A, pertaining to discretionary grants, such as grants for special demonstration projects under Section 1115 of the Act, that may be awarded to an SCHIP agency, are subject to reconsideration by the Departmental Grant Appeals Board.

#### § 457.208 Judicial review.

- (a) Right to judicial review. Any State dissatisfied with the Administrator's final determination on approvability of plan material (§457.203) or compliance with Federal requirements (§457.204) has a right to judicial review.
- (b) Petition for review. (1) The State must file a petition for review with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the circuit in which the State is located, within 60 days after it is notified of the determination.
- (2) After the clerk of the court files a copy of the petition with the Administrator, the Administrator files in the court the record of the proceedings on which the determination was based.
- (c) Court action. (1) The court is bound by the Administrator's findings of fact, if they are supported by substantial evidence.
- (2) The court has jurisdiction to affirm the Administrator's decision, to set it aside in whole or in part, or, for good cause, to remand the case for additional evidence.
- (d) Response to remand. (1) If the court remands the case, the Administrator may make new or modified findings of fact and may modify his or her previous determination.
- (2) The Administrator certifies to the court the transcript and record of the further proceedings.
- (e) Review by the Supreme Court. The judgment of the appeals court is subject to review by the U.S. Supreme

Court upon certiorari or certification, as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1254.

[65 FR 33622, May 24, 2000, as amended at 66 FR 2674, Jan. 11, 2001]

### §457.210 Deferral of claims for FFP.

- (a) Requirements for deferral. Payment of a claim or any portion of a claim for FFP is deferred only if—
- (1) The Regional Administrator or the Administrator questions its allowability and needs additional information in order to resolve the question; and
- (2) CMS takes action to defer the claim (by excluding the claimed amount from the grant award) within 60 days after the receipt of a Quarterly Statement of Expenditures (prepared in accordance with CMS instructions) that includes that claim.
- (b) Notice of deferral and State's responsibility. (1) Within 15 days of the action described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, the Regional Administrator sends the State a written notice of deferral that—
- (i) Identifies the type and amount of the deferred claim and specifies the reason for deferral; and
- (ii) Requests the State to make available all the documents and materials the CMS regional office believes are necessary to determine the allowability of the claim.
- (2) It is the responsibility of the State to establish the allowability of a deferred claim.
- (c) Handling of documents and materials. (1) Within 60 days (or within 120 days if the State requests an extension) after receipt of the notice of deferral, the State must make available to the CMS regional office, in readily reviewable form, all requested documents and materials except any that it identifies as not being available.
- (2) CMS regional office staff initiates review within 30 days after receipt of the documents and materials.
- (3) If the Regional Administrator finds that the materials are not in readily reviewable form or that additional information is needed, he or she promptly notifies the State that it has 15 days to submit the readily reviewable or additional materials.
- (4) If the State does not provide the necessary materials within 15 days, the